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Introduction

Radiotherapy (RT) is frequently indicated as part of curative treatment of bone and soft tissue sarcoma to improve local control, or, in palliative settings to relieve symptoms. Hence, RT may be administered pre- (neo-adjuvant) or postoperatively (adjuvant); as the sole and definitive local treatment in radiosensitive sarcoma types; in cases of inoperable primary tumours; or, in circumstance of metastases causing local symptoms.

Typically, fractionated RT using photons and/or electrons are applied. In some circumstances, in particular in children in case of a sarcoma in close relation to structures of the central nerve system, particle therapy may yield superior conformity and organs-at-risk avoidance. Brachytherapy is another technique facilitating normal tissue sparing in selected cases. Given the diversity of anatomical localisation and histological tumour subtypes representing the heterogeneous biological features of sarcomas, individualised conformal 3D-RT is customary. Current inverse treatment planning techniques based on advanced imaging methods are useful to balance the effects and potential side-effects of RT, although the scientific evidence for comparisons of the different techniques is limited.

The Scandinavian Sarcoma Group (SSG) recommendation for the use of RT in treatment of the different sarcoma subtypes are based on available clinical evidence, Scandinavian experience, and international and Scandinavian practice guidelines. This document comprises radiation treatment specifications applicable for clinical scenarios in general, and guidelines for RT in soft tissue sarcoma in adults in particular. Additionally, we refer to recommendations according to the specific on-going bone sarcoma and paediatric sarcoma treatment protocols in which SSG participate. We encourage inclusion of sarcoma patients in clinical studies whenever possible. The most relevant studies/treatment guidelines are summarized in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sarcoma subtype</th>
<th>Protocol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High-risk soft tissue sarcoma (STS), adults</td>
<td>SSG XX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteosarcoma &lt; 40 years</td>
<td>Euramos 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteosarcoma &gt; 40 years</td>
<td>Euroboss 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ewing’s sarcoma, ≤ 40 years:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non metastatic</td>
<td>ISG/SSG III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-risk</td>
<td>ISG/SSG IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ewing’s sarcoma ≤ 50 years</td>
<td>Ewing 2008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Adjuvant treatment protocols or recommendations currently used in SSG
1.1 General considerations

The following specifications of treatment prescriptions are applicable for all tumour categories, but should be customised for each individual patient. The background, indications and recommendations for RT are outlined in separate chapters based on anatomical locations and sarcoma histotypes. Those sections have been concluded with a brief summary of the main therapeutic implications.

1.2 Specification of treatment prescriptions

1.2.1 Introduction

The layout of these treatment prescriptions are largely based on the 2014 report from the Swedish Radiation Safety Society including a template for external beam radiotherapy protocols, and adapted for the purpose of guidelines for treatment of soft tissue and bone sarcomas.

When patients are included in specific treatment protocols, the respective protocol guidelines for RT should be consulted. Collaboration with the operating surgeon is fundamental when planning and executing RT in soft tissue and bone sarcoma patients.

1.2.2 Patient fixation

To reduce the set-up errors during treatment, care should be taken to ensure adequate fixation of the patient and affected body region to be treated. The position must be reproducible during planning, simulation and treatment. Because optimal fixation is difficult to standardize (prone, supine, thorax-fix, joint extension or flexion etc.), a close collaboration between oncologists, radiation therapists and physicists with experience in sarcoma treatment is essential.

In the pre-treatment preparation (simulation), immobilisation devices should be used for reproducible positioning. The patient reference coordinate system is defined by using tattoos during preparatory imaging.

1.2.3 Patient data acquisition or pre-treatment imaging

For structure delineation, a CT study should be performed in the treatment position on a flat table top with the patient, or the affected body region to be treated, in the fixation device. If available and needed, MRI or PET/CT in the same position can be used, as well as time resolved 4D imaging technique or respiratory gating if applicable. The scan should be confined by anatomical landmarks and registration technique should be specified. The need and use for intravenous or oral contrast medium, or the use of tracer, must be evaluated form the diagnostic images and predefined. In postoperative setting, the scar should be marked with a lead thread. The CT scanning for treatment planning calculations should include the complete circumference of the involved body part (and if necessary both legs, although efforts should be made to exclude the healthy extremity from the RT fields), and performed as a volume according to the protocols of the local radiology department. Reformations with a slice thickness of maximum 5 mm, preferably 3 mm, are made from the volume. The examination should be stored in the PACS. External or internal reference systems for image guided RT
(IGRT) should be well defined. Reference imaging with planar kilovoltage (kV) or megavoltage (mV) images, or cone beam CT (CBCT) datasets, are matched with the planning CT datasets or digitally reconstructed radiographs (DRRs).

1.2.4 Target volumes and organs-at-risk (OAR) volume specification

In study patients, the target volumes should be delineated in accordance with the respective protocol definitions. Otherwise, the definition of volumes should follow the recommendations made by the International Commission on Radiation Units and Measurements, ICRU, for photon and electron beam therapy (ICRU reports 50, 62, 71 and 83). We recommend that the naming of target and OAR volumes follow the standardized Swedish nomenclature for RT (Swedish radiation Safety Authority; Report 2014:25), which is based on ICRU 83 and Santanam et al. (2012), Table 2 (see: www.Stralsakerhetsmyndigheten.se), [1].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GTVT_xx.x (free text)</td>
<td>Single/primary</td>
<td>xx.x = dose in Gy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GTVT1_R_xx.x (free text)</td>
<td>Multiple/primary</td>
<td>T1, T2 etc. L=left, R=right</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GTVT2_L_xx.x (free text)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GTVN_xx.x (free text)</td>
<td>Single/node</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GTVN1_R_xx.x (free text)</td>
<td>Multiple/node</td>
<td>N1, N2 etc, L=left, R=right</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GTVN2_L_xx.x (free text)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTVT_xx.x (free text)</td>
<td>Etc.. (similar to GTV)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITV_T_xx.x (free text)</td>
<td>Etc.. (similar to GTV)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTVT_xx.x (free text)</td>
<td>Etc.. (similar to GTV)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Naming of target volumes according to Swedish radiation Safety Authority; Report 2014:25, based on ICRU 83 and Santanam 2012 [1].

GROSS TUMOR VOLUME (GTV)

In preoperative RT, the macroscopic tumour volume is visualized on the CT-images for treatment planning, co-registered with MRI and/or PET/CT examinations preferably performed in the treatment position. The GTV may be defined as a sum (boolean technique) of delineated GTVs from different imaging modalities (CT, MR, PET) [2]. If multiple primary tumour nodules or positive lymph nodes are present, separate GTV volumes are defined, and labelled GTV-T1, GTV-T2, etc. and GTV-N1, GTV-N2, etc., respectively (see Table 2 or ICRU 71).

In postoperative RT, the surgical bed may be difficult to define. Co-registration of the planning CT with preoperative radiologic images enables reconstruction of a the resected GTV representing the initial tumour boundaries and the proximity to, or involvement of, adjacent anatomical structures. This should preferably be carried out together with the radiologist as the anatomy of the compartments has changed. Note that OARs may be closer to the target volumes postoperatively. A close collaboration between the oncologist and the sarcoma surgeon is compulsory.

CLINICAL TARGET VOLUME (CTV)

The CTV is defined by means of the preoperative tumour bed as defined on diagnostic MR and
findings at surgery. Recommendations for the safety margins will most often be defined in the respective protocols. Regarding STS in adults, a transverse margin of 1.5 cm and 4 cm longitudinally to the GTV is proposed, according to Haas et al (see section 2.1.3) [3].

Postoperative MRI-sequences demonstrating oedema (STIR) may be helpful in segmenting the CTV. One should make an effort to include peritumoural oedema seen in T2 MR sequence in both pre- or postoperative RT. Whether it is necessary to include in the CTV the complete and potentially contaminated compartment, drainage canal, overlying scar and postoperative oedema/hematoma/seroma should be discussed with the radiologist and the operating surgeon. Radio-opac surgical clips may be of help to define critical borders of the tumour bed. The quality of the surgical margin will be of relevance, as poorer surgical margins motivate more liberal volumes compared with wide margin surgery.

Intact fascial linings (muscle fascia, periosteum, nerve sheaths etc.) serve as barriers for tumour spread, and may be pertinent to restrict the CTV in certain directions, or to delineate whole compartments that should be encompassed by the CTV if tentatively contaminated. If underlying fascial borders or periosteum are uninvolved, they may serve as CTV constraints with no further CTV-extensions in this direction. Otherwise, a 10 mm margin is considered adequate. If multiple primary tumour nodules or positive lymph nodes are present, separate CTV volumes are defined and labelled CTV-T1, CTV-T2, etc. and CTV-N1, CTV-N2, etc., respectively (see Table 2 or ICRU 71).

The scar should be included in the CTV with an additional margin (of typically 2 cm) at both sides (with the use of bolus if necessary, see section 1.2.8), if the tumour has been removed with intralesional surgery (including spilling). A lead wire should be taped along the entire length of the scar during CT simulation. Furthermore, the scar overlying the GTV should be included in the CTV in case of tumour infiltration in the skin or subcutaneous tissue, unless the affected skin has been removed by a sarcoma surgeon en-bloc together with the tumour. The scar may be excluded from the CTV following negative margin surgery of deep-seated tumours.

INTERNAL TARGET VOLUME (ITV)
In order to compensate for uncertainties regarding size, shape and in particular the position of the CTV in the internal anatomical landscape, an optional ITV-margin may be added to compensate for organ-movement such as respiration.

PLANNING TARGET VOLUME (PTV)
PTV is typically defined as the CTV with an additional isotropic margin of 1 cm, although specific protocols may recommend otherwise. The PTV may be customized according to anatomical location, immobilisation, reproducibility and 2D or 3D on board imaging technique. A smaller PTV margin than 10 mm is often justifiable with satisfactory immobilisation and intimacy of the CTV with critical structures.

ORGANS-AT-RISK (OAR)
Delineation of all relevant organs at risk is recommended as a basis for treatment plan optimisation, and for documentation and reporting of dose-volume parameters. For extremity localization, avoid circumferential irradiation to reduce the risk for subsequent distal lymphedema. Using IMRT, a low dose to the whole circumference may be acceptable (a dose of 20 Gy is probably safe). Avoid inclusion of an entire joint space and full-dose irradiation of adjacent bone of the weight bearing skeleton to reduce the risk of pathologic fractures. A dose constraint to weight bearing bones of V40 < 65%, mean dose 37 Gy, max dose 59 Gy) has been suggested [4].
PLANNING ORGAN-AT-RISK (PRV)
A planning organ-at-risk volume (PRV) should be defined for OARs with a serial architecture (e.g. optic chiasm, spinal cord, etc.) by adding a proper margin to the OAR.

TARGET MOTION
Target and organs-at-risk motion should be taken into account by using sufficient ITV margins, and/or facilitated by 4D-CT, gating, etc.

The risk of late radiation morbidity must be taken into account for the various critical OARs. Estimated dose levels concerning toxicity of normal tissues and organs are available from e.g. the QUANTEC report (see Table 4) [5-7].

1.2.5 Radiation treatment technique
It is left to each centre to decide on the type of treatment delivery technique (3DCRT, IMRT, VMAT, tomotherapy, brachytherapy, protons) as long as the dose-volume constraints are fulfilled [8].

1.2.6 Dose specification and dose-volume constraints
Dose specification should be based on a prescription priority list according to relevant protocol (e.g. Table 3) and/or updated reports such as the QUANTEC (Table 4) [7] which also serves as a tool for treatment plan optimisation, by prioritising objectives and constraints for the various volumes of interest. Dose reporting should be in accordance with ICRU recommendations (see e.g. ICRU 83). For electron treatment the dose at the depth of dose-maximum ($D_{\text{max}}$) at a perpendicular angle to the surface should be used for dose specification and dose reporting (see ICRU 71). The energy should be chosen so that the PTV is encompassed by the 90% isodose level.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dose to critical organs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The dose to kidney, heart, liver, lung, and spinal cord shall be calculated. Doses to the critical organs should not exceed the maximum values listed below:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spinal cord</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>heart</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>liver</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lung</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kidney</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Example of dose-volume constraints priority list (from ISG/SSG III)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organ</th>
<th>Volume</th>
<th>RT type</th>
<th>Endpoint</th>
<th>Dose (Gy) or Dose/Volume</th>
<th>Rate (%)</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spinal cord</td>
<td>partial</td>
<td>3D-CRT</td>
<td>Myelopathy</td>
<td>Dmax = 50</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>Full cross section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>partial</td>
<td>3D-CRT</td>
<td>Myelopathy</td>
<td>Dmax = 60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>partial</td>
<td>3D-CRT</td>
<td>Myelopathy</td>
<td>Dmax = 69</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>partial</td>
<td>SRS</td>
<td>Myelopathy</td>
<td>Dmax = 13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Partial cross section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart</td>
<td>Pericardium</td>
<td>3D-CRT</td>
<td>Pericarditis</td>
<td>Mean = 26</td>
<td>&lt; 15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pericardium</td>
<td>3D-CRT</td>
<td>Pericarditis</td>
<td>V30 &lt; 46%</td>
<td>&lt; 15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Whole</td>
<td>3D-CRT</td>
<td>Cardiac mortality</td>
<td>V25 &lt; 10%</td>
<td>&lt; 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>Whole</td>
<td>3D-CRT</td>
<td>RILD</td>
<td>Mean &lt; 42</td>
<td>&lt; 50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Whole</td>
<td>3D-CRT</td>
<td>RILD</td>
<td>Mean &lt; 28</td>
<td>&lt; 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Whole</td>
<td>SBRT</td>
<td>RILD</td>
<td>Mean &lt; 15-20</td>
<td>&lt; 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt; 700 cc</td>
<td>SBRT</td>
<td>RILD</td>
<td>Dmax &lt; 15</td>
<td>&lt; 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung</td>
<td>Whole</td>
<td>3D-CRT</td>
<td>Pneumonitis</td>
<td>V20 ≤ 30</td>
<td>&lt; 20</td>
<td>Combined lung</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Whole</td>
<td>3D-CRT</td>
<td>Pneumonitis</td>
<td>Mean 7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Whole</td>
<td>3D-CRT</td>
<td>Pneumonitis</td>
<td>Mean 13</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Whole</td>
<td>3D-CRT</td>
<td>Pneumonitis</td>
<td>Mean 20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Whole</td>
<td>3D-CRT</td>
<td>Pneumonitis</td>
<td>Mean 24</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Whole</td>
<td>3D-CRT</td>
<td>Pneumonitis</td>
<td>Mean 27</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>Bilat whole</td>
<td>3D-CRT</td>
<td>Dysfunction</td>
<td>Mean 15-18</td>
<td>&lt; 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bilat whole</td>
<td>3D-CRT</td>
<td>Dysfunction</td>
<td>Mean &lt; 28</td>
<td>&lt; 50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bilat whole</td>
<td>3D-CRT</td>
<td>Dysfunction</td>
<td>V12 &lt; 55%</td>
<td>&lt; 5</td>
<td>Combined kidney</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>V20 &lt; 32%</td>
<td>&lt; 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>V23 &lt; 30%</td>
<td>&lt; 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>V28 &lt; 20%</td>
<td>&lt; 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Example of corresponding QUANTEC data (ref. Table 3), data form: Marks et al., IJROBP (2010) [7]. Abbreviations: 3D-CRT = 3-dimensional conformal radiotherapy, SRS= single fraction, RILD = radiation induced liver damage, SBRT = stereotactic RT

### 1.2.7 Fractionation and treatment time

RT is typically given as daily fractions. Accelerated RT according to for instance SSG XX or ISG/SSG III and IV is administered twice daily with at least 6 hours interval between two daily fractions. Boost is typically given in a sequential schedule. Simultaneous integrated boost (SIB) may be an alternative in VMAT or IMRT. Unintended interruptions should be compensated within the intended overall treatment time according to the BED/EQD2 concept.

### 1.2.8 Use of bolus material

Bolus is recommended in cases of intralesional margin and following marginal resection of tumours with diffuse infiltration of the skin or subcutaneous tissue (unless the skin is excised en-bloc with the tumour) to ensure therapeutic dose to the scar with a 2 cm margin on both sides, i.e. a 2 cm perimeter of the skin on both sides of the scar is included in the CTV/PTV. Bolus may be considered following a primary resection or incisional biopsy performed outside a sarcoma centre. It may be practical to mount the bolus material during the CT simulation procedure, in particular when the fixation equipment will be in direct contact with the scar area.
1.2.9 Relation to other concomitant therapies

The timing (pre-, postoperatively) relative to surgery or drug therapy, as well as the exact interval between commencement of the combined modalities, should follow current treatment protocols. In case of adjuvant RT outside of clinical studies, these factors should be discussed individually. Generally, the time lapse between surgery and RT should be in the range of 3-6 weeks. In preoperative RT, the patients must have recovered from acute reactions at the time of surgery. In postoperative RT, the wound healing must be satisfactory prior to commencement of adjuvant RT. Factors motivating preoperative RT are large, locally advanced tumours in close proximity to critical structures (which typically is the case in upper extremity sarcomas, retroperitoneal sarcomas and head-and neck location (see also section 2.1.1). Doxorubicin increases the RT toxicity; concomitant use should be avoided, and careful assessment of acute toxicity performed when doxorubicin is given sequential to RT (e.g. SSG XX). A minimal interval between doxorubicin and radiotherapy should be 7 days. Likewise, Actinomycin-D should be avoided during RT.

1.2.10 Dose computation

The size and resolution of the calculation grid should be ≤ 3 mm.

1.2.11 Image-guided and adaptive treatment delivery

Image-guided and adaptive treatment delivery, if applicable, should be performed according to institutional practice. Evaluation of tumour response should be based on radiological imaging after the completion of RT according to the specific treatment protocol.

1.2.12 Quality management

Quality assurance and quality controls throughout the RT process shall be performed according to institutional practice.

Dummy runs are advised before commissioning of new treatment protocols.
2 Soft tissue sarcoma (STS)

2.1 General considerations

The following section is applicable for the adult population. Specific guidelines for the treatment of children, adolescents and young adults are provided by the EpSSG NRSTS and EpSSG RMS (both 2005) and the EpSS RMS-MET (2008), as well as the CWS-guidance (2014) (see: Radiotherapy in paediatric sarcoma, chapter 4).

2.1.1 Indications for radiotherapy

Scandinavian guidelines for adjuvant RT in extremity and trunk wall soft tissue sarcoma (STS) in adults were initially outlined in the SSG XIII protocol of 1998 [9]. This protocol was however concluded in 2007, and the succeeding SSG XX only holds recommendations for high-risk scenarios. The present document serves as current recommendations for all risk categories, based on Scandinavian practice and scientific reports.

SSG XX was closed for inclusion in 2014. While awaiting the results, the SSG oncology group recommends that patients fulfilling the high-risk inclusion criteria are treated according to SSG XX with accelerated RT interposed with adjuvant chemotherapy (see section 2.2.1).

The surgical margin is an important parameter in the decision making of applying adjuvant RT, and the classification of surgical margins currently used in SSG is as follows, Figure 1 (see SSG VII: 4 and SSG XX):

![Surgical margins diagram](image)

Figure 1, surgical margin classification, SSG VII:4.
Negative surgical margin:
1) wide, i.e. unengaged fascia or a cuff of 10 mm healthy tissue around the entire circumference of the tumour, or
2) marginal, i.e. less than a wide margin (only few mm) but microscopically uninvolved.

Positive surgical margin:
1) gross tumour left or tumour leakage reported by the surgeon, or
2) intralesional, i.e. microscopic tumour tissue at the resection border detected by the pathologist.

The effects of adjuvant RT is well documented in high-grade extremity and trunk wall soft tissue sarcoma (ETSTS) excised with non-wide margins [10-13]. Evidence has emerged showing that RT improves local control also in deep-seated high-grade malignant tumours removed with a wide margin [13, 14]. Findings based on data from the SSG-register have confirmed the effect of adjuvant RT, with improved local control following RT even in low-grade STS (Table 5) [15]. Local control in subcutaneous low-grade STS given postoperative RT following intralesional surgical margins was 93%, compared with 82% in patients who underwent surgery alone. In deep-seated low-grade STS, local control rates following intralesional surgery was 90% and 75% with and without adjuvant RT, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Without RT (n=622)</th>
<th>RT (n=453)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subcutaneous, low-grade</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wide margin</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marginal margin</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intralesional margin</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subcutaneous, high-grade</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wide margin</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marginal margin</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intralesional margin</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Deep, low-grade</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wide margin</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marginal margin</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intralesional margin</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Deep, high-grade</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wide margin</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marginal margin</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intralesional margin</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Five-year local control rates by prognostic group and radiotherapy in 1093 patients with extremity and trunk wall soft tissue sarcoma. Source: Jebsen et al, 2008.

There is consensus that adjuvant RT is indicated in all high-grade STS following marginal and intralesional margin surgery, and after wide margin surgery in deep-seated, high-grade tumours [16, 17]. Furthermore, RT is recommended by SSG in low-grade STS excised with an intralesional margin.

In addition, RT may be considered in cases of marginal margins in deep-seated, low-grade STS, or wide margins in subcutaneous STS if surgical resection of a local recurrence would be mutilating. RT is usually not recommended following wide margin surgery of low-grade STS, or after marginal surgery in subcutaneous, low-grade STS.
RT may be offered as definitive treatment in technically or medically inoperable cases, or if the patient declines surgical treatment [18, 19].

Preoperative RT seems equivalent to postoperative RT regarding local control and long-term physical function [20-22]. However, the risk of post-operative wound complications is higher in preoperative RT [22]. The size of the target volume is correlated with the risk of late radiation morbidity. Hence, preoperative RT may be advantageous when the risk of wound complications is considered low, and the target volume must be restricted to shield vulnerable structures (STS in upper extremity, retroperitoneal sarcoma, head- and neck location) [20, 23-25]. Furthermore, preoperative RT may be applied in large, infiltrative tumours in close proximity to nerves and vessels to facilitate function preserving surgery [16, 26].

Timing of adjuvant RT in adult extremity and trunk wall STS:

Postoperative RT is recommended regardless of surgical margin in high-grade, deep-seated STS, and following marginal and intralesional surgery in all high-grade STS irrespective of tumour depth (Table 6). Furthermore, RT is indicated after intralesional surgery irrespective of malignancy grade.

Preoperative RT may be considered in large, locally advanced tumours to support limb sparing, marginal surgery, or if target volumes are believed to be considerably smaller with neo-adjuvant treatment.

2.1.2 Dose fractionation schedules

There is no consensus on RT dose among various international guidelines [9, 15-17]. In Scandinavia, a total dose of 50 Gy has been routine following marginal or wide margin surgery, in contrast to typically 60 Gy outside Scandinavia. The fraction dose is normally 2 Gy, although 1.8 Gy is occasionally preferred, in particularly in the paediatric population. Despite the fact that Scandinavian practice represents lower doses compared with European and North-American recommendations, local control rates seems similar following negative margin surgery [15, 27-32]. Also in intralesional surgery, higher RT dose levels have been used outside SSG, resulting in seemingly better local control rates [27, 30]. Thus, there might be a potential in SSG to improve local control following intralesional surgery in STS by applying a larger boost dose of up to 16-20 Gy (in contrast to the customary boost dose of 10 Gy) to a restricted high-risk volume [12, 28, 33]. We recommend a postoperative dose of at least 66 Gy following intralesional margin surgery. An SSG-study of 1093 patients with ETSTS diagnosed 1986-2005, of whom approximately 40% underwent RT, revealed significant differences in local control rates between all three margin types (wide, marginal and intralesional) [15]. A later study including 462 patients (diagnosed 1998-2009), all given RT, did not demonstrate a significant difference in local control between wide and marginal margin – only between wide and intralesional margin [32]. A conceivable explanation is a higher rate of planned marginal margins in the later years motivated by the evidence that adjuvant RT may to some extent compensate for non-wide margin surgery. Based on this assumption, and in cases of for
instance unplanned marginal margin surgery, a higher dose than 50 Gy/25 fractions may sometimes be justified.

In cases of technically or medically unresectable STS, definitive RT to dose-levels exceeding 63 Gy in 2 Gy fractions may be advocated when feasible, as high dose levels in this setting is reported to increase the probability of local control [18, 28, 34]. Local tumour control is correlated with the total RT dose administered; however, since the rates of complication rise parallel with the increased RT dose, considerations of dose to organs at risk may be outweighed by the potential benefits of high dose levels. Intra-abdominal location of the sarcoma is particularly challenging because of the close proximity to vulnerable parenchymatous organs or intestine. Consequently, a dose beyond 50 Gy is often prohibited in definite RT of retroperitoneal or abdominal/pelvic sarcoma. Depending on circumstances such as patient age and co-morbidity, a more palliative approach in case of an unresectable localised tumour will be hypo-fractionation, e.g. 3 Gy x 13-15.

**Recommendation adjuvant RT in extremity or trunk wall STS (Table 6):**

Negative surgical margin: 50 Gy, 1.8 to 2.0 Gy daily fractions

Positive surgical margin: 50 Gy to tumour bed with an additional boost of 10-20 Gy to total doses of 60-70 Gy (aiming at minimum 66 Gy), 1.8 to 2.0 Gy daily fractions

**Recommendation definitive RT in extremity or trunk wall STS (Table 6):**

64-70 (74) Gy, 1.8 to 2.0 Gy daily fractions.
Table 6. Recommendation for adjuvant radiotherapy in patients with extremity and trunk wall soft tissue sarcoma.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade FNCLCC G1-3</th>
<th>Margin</th>
<th>Depth</th>
<th>Radiotherapy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G1</td>
<td>Wide</td>
<td>sc/deep</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G1</td>
<td>Marginal</td>
<td>sc</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G1</td>
<td>Marginal</td>
<td>deep</td>
<td>Consider RT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G2-3</td>
<td>Wide</td>
<td>sc</td>
<td>Consider RT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G2-3</td>
<td>Wide</td>
<td>deep</td>
<td>RT 50 Gy/25 fractions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G2-3</td>
<td>Marginal</td>
<td>sc/deep</td>
<td>RT 50 Gy/25 fractions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G1-3</td>
<td>Intralesional: micro/macrophage positive</td>
<td>sc/deep</td>
<td>RT 60-70 Gy (2 Gy fractions)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G1-G3</td>
<td>Inoperable</td>
<td>sc/deep</td>
<td>64-70 (74) Gy (2 Gy fractions)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.1.3 Target volume definitions

See section 1.2.4 for general recommendations for definitions of target volumes and organs at risk. There is limited clinical evidence available for establishing the optimal size of the safety margins; however, in 2012 an panel of radiation oncologists involved in sarcoma treatment led by R. Haas published a consensus review comprising numerical recommendations for the CTV and PTV margins in pre- and postoperative RT in extremity STS [3].

According to Haas and co-workers the CTV should be defined by means of the preoperative tumour bed as defined on diagnostic MR and findings at surgery with an additional transverse margin of 1.5 cm and 4 cm longitudinally to the GTV. However, substantial adjustments of the CTV taking into account the surgical scar, peritumoural oedema, postoperative seroma, fascial barriers, organ movement, fixation etc. are rather the rule than the exception (see section 1.2.4). For the use of bolus, see section 1.2.4.

The PTV is produced by expanding the CTV using an additional isotropic margin of approximately 1 cm.
2.2  **Distinctive clinical situations**

2.2.1  **High-risk STS of extremities or trunk wall:**

SSG have pursued a strategy of selecting high-risk ETSTS patients to undergo systemic adjuvant treatment [9, 35, 36]. In patients with high-risk STS of the extremities and trunk wall eligible for the adjuvant SSG XX protocol, accelerated RT interpolated with chemotherapy is scheduled, either postoperatively (group A), or in the neo-adjuvant setting if primary, complete resection of the tumour is not feasible (group B) (www.ssg-org.net).

High-risk STS is defined by high-grade malignancy, the presence of vascular invasion in the specimen, and/or at least two of the following: size ≥ 8 cm, necrosis or infiltrative growth pattern.

**RT Group A (post-operative):**

*1.8 Gy twice daily to 36 or 45 Gy, depending on the surgical margin*

Patients with wide margins for deep-seated tumours and marginal margins for all tumours will receive 36 Gy between chemotherapy cycle 3 and 4 (arm 2, Figure 1). Patients with intralesional margins will receive 45 Gy regardless of tumour depth (arm 3, Figure 1). The fractionation schedule is 2 x 1.8 Gy/day, with minimum 6-hour interval between the two daily fractions, and if possible 5 treatment days per week. Due to the radiosensitizing effect of doxorubicin a minimal interval between doxorubicin and radiotherapy should be 7 days. In the event of complications following surgery which necessitates postponing RT until all six chemotherapy cycles are completed, a standard fractionated regimen is recommended, see Table 6. The interval between surgery and RT may exceed 7 weeks without any significant increase in the risk of LR [15].

![Figure 2a. Treatment schedule group A by arm 1, 2 and 3](image)

Figure 2.
Treatment schedule group A, SSG XX treatment protocol in high-risk soft tissue sarcoma of extremities and trunk wall.
RT Group B (pre- and postoperative):  
1.8 Gy twice daily to 36 Gy

In case of an obvious risk of intrallesional margin surgery, 3 cycles of chemotherapy as well as accelerated RT may be administered in the preoperative setting. Radiotherapy (36 Gy) is given after the two initial chemotherapy cycles (Figure 2). The fractionation schedule is 2 x 1.8 Gy/day, with at least 6 hours interval between the two daily fractions, and if possible 5 treatment days per week. Due to the radiosensitizing effect of doxorubicin a minimal interval between doxorubicin and radiotherapy should be 7 days. Surgery is performed between chemotherapy cycle 3 and 4.

Figure 2b. Treatment schedule group B

Figure 3. Treatment schedule group B, SSG XX treatment protocol in high-risk soft tissue sarcoma of extremities and trunk wall.

Radiobiological considerations, SSG XX:
Accelerated tumour cell proliferation during prolonged radiotherapy regimes or treatment breaks may result in a risk of decreased local tumour control; hence RT treatment time should be as short as possible, without compromising wound healing, and within tissue tolerance for severe acute and late effects of radiotherapy.

In order to shorten the overall treatment period, the fractionation schedule in the SSG XX phase-II study is 2 x 1.8 Gy/day, with an interval between the two daily fractions of at least 6 hours in order to allow for repair of sub-lethal damage in normal tissue. The rather slow component of repair reported for some late responding tissues [37, 38], and thus the possibility of remaining incomplete repair, is taken into account by incorporating a dose per fraction modifying factor of 1.10 (ref SSG XIII) when establishing the total dose in the accelerated regimen used in the current protocol [38]. No such correction is deemed necessary for acute effects based on data for skin [38, 39].
When RT is given in combination with chemotherapy - doxorubicin and ifosfamide being radiosensitizers; both increased acute and late effects are to be expected. Concerning chemotherapy, a modifying factor of 1.15 was assumed for both early and late effects [40]. For estimates of early and late effects of RT, comparisons of Biologically Effective Dose (BED) were made for the conventional fractionation and the accelerated hyper-fractionated regime, see Protocol SSG XX, ssg-org.net. If the difference in overall treatment time is neglected, the schedules of accelerated RT to 36 or 45 Gy are equivalent to total doses regarding tumour effect of about 40 Gy and 50 Gy, respectively, or concerning late effects, 50 Gy and 60 Gy, if administered with conventional fractionation, i.e. one fraction of 2 Gy per day.
2.2.2 Histotype-specific considerations

Some reports suggest that for instance malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumour (MPNST) and epithelioid histopathological subtypes have a higher risk of LR, despite adjuvant RT [28, 32, 41]. Although this has never been confirmed in a randomized study (and probably never will be as such a study is hampered by the rarity of STS), it implies that a higher adjuvant RT dose may be considered in such seemingly radio-resistant histotypes if surgical resection of a local recurrence would entail mutilation/amputation.

Irradiation of lymph nodes in STS is not customarily undertaken as node involvement is rare. However, in subtypes such as epithelioid sarcoma, clear cell sarcoma or synovial sarcoma, elective irradiation of loco-regional lymph nodes may be considered if enlarged nodes/suspected involvement based on radiologic examinations are present, or metastases to lymph nodes are histologically confirmed.

2.2.3 Difficult sites

- Retroperitoneum (see also section 5):

Adjuvant RT in retroperitoneal sarcoma (RPS) is not routinely recommended due to the lack of clinical evidence of the efficacy of RT in this localisation. No randomized studies exist, and results from retrospective studies are conflicting [42-45]. Retrospective data from two Scandinavian centres, however, demonstrate an association between improved 5-year local recurrence-free survival and overall survival when surgical excision is combined with RT compared with surgery alone [46].

The optimal timing of administering RT in RPS is also controversial, i.e. whether preoperative, intra-operative or postoperative administration is the more appropriate approach. The theoretical rationale for preoperative RT is attractive as RT is administered while the primary tumour is displacing the adjacent healthy tissue beyond the radiation field. This may limit the radiation dose to abdominal viscera, which generally have low radiation tolerance. Preoperative RT in RPS is reported to be feasible and well-tolerated, and may be advocated in cases where a positive margin resection has been anticipated [47].

There is no consensus on target volume definitions in RPS treatment. The close proximity to intra-abdominal structures, and potentially very large target volumes, may preclude PTV margins applied in extremity tumours. Concurrently, organ movement due to respiration may call for additional ITV margins. In very large retroperitoneal STS planned for en-bloc-resection in which the retroperitoneal tumour origin represents a surgical challenge, with the tumour growth dislocating the intra-abdominal organs in a well demarked fashion, preoperative RT to 50 Gy to the retroperitoneal tumour origin, but not including the entire tumour circumference, may be considered to reduce the dose to OAR and facilitate surgery.

An adjuvant dose of 50 Gy (1.8 to 2.0 Gy fractions) is most often applied. Fraction doses of 1.8 Gy are encouraged when relative large volumes of intestine are encompassed by the PTV.

The European Organisation of Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) is conducting a randomised clinical trial investigating preoperative RT (1.8 Gy x 28) versus surgery alone for resectable RPS. Participating centres in SSG are: Oslo University Hospital and Karolinska Hospital in Stockholm. We encourage participation in this study whenever the patients are eligible.
The recommendations for treatment of intra-abdominal, retroperitoneal and pelvine sarcoma SSG VII (2008) suggest adjuvant RT following intralesional surgery of RPS, provided that contamination of the peritoneal cavity has been avoided. RT to a total dose of 50 Gy (1.8 – 2 Gy per fraction) is most often used, which may be reinforced by a boost dose of 10 Gy to areas of positive margin.

In unresectable RPS, standard fractionated RT may be used as definitive treatment, yet signifying a palliative intent (serving to prolong time to progression) as the total dose will often be restricted by the tolerance dose to adjacent intra-abdominal organs. A dose-response relationship has been demonstrated in STS series including RPS, indicating that a dose > 63 Gy is correlated with superior tumour control [18]. High dose levels, however, implies an increased risk of complications, and the retroperitoneal location frequently precludes adequate doses and subsequently a definitive treatment approach. A total dose of 60 Gy or more should be attempted when feasible if local tumour control is the objective.

In palliative treatment for symptomatic lesions in advanced disease, hypofractionated regimens (3 Gy x 10-12 or 4 Gy x 5) are preferred to condense overall treatment time when life expectancy is highly limited.

**Recommendation retroperitoneal sarcoma (RPS):**

The Scandinavian Sarcoma Group (SSG) recommends participation in the currently running EORTC randomised clinical trial investigating preoperative RT versus surgery alone for resectable RPS.

In non-eligible cases, RT may be administered in patients with tumours of malignancy grades 3-4 and macroscopic or microscopic positive surgical margin, or anticipated intralesional surgery.

An adjuvant dose of 50 Gy in 1.8 – 2.0 Gy fractions is typically applied, which may be reinforced with a boost of up to 10 Gy in volumes of macroscopic positive surgical margins (see section 5).

In unresectable RPS, “definitive” standard fractionated RT to 60 Gy or more may be considered when feasible.

Palliative fractionation in symptomatic lesions: 3 Gy x 10-12 (or 4 Gy x 5)

**Sarcoma of the breast:**

STS localized in the breast should be treated according to the same guidelines as STS in extremities or trunk wall. Total mastectomy including fasciectomy may be necessary to obtain adequate surgical margins. Dissection of the axillary lymph nodes is not routinely performed.
Phyllodes tumour of the breast represents a sarcomatous lesion containing both epithelial and connective tissue elements, however with a higher cell density of the stromal component. They may be classified as benign, borderline or malignant, of which the benign variant may be difficult to distinguish from a fibroadenoma. Recommended treatment is complete surgical resection with microscopic wide margins (10 mm or fascial lining). The local recurrence risk is correlated to the tumour size, excision margins and malignancy grade \[48, 49\]. In a series from Oslo University Hospital of 84 patients with Phyllodes tumour of the breast, including 55 of malignant type, no local recurrences were recorded following complete surgery with negative margins (R0) (Norwegian surgeon association, “Vitenskapelige forhandlinger, 2013”). In general, a re-excision should be performed if the primary resection results in positive margins. Radiotherapy reduces the risk of local recurrence, and is primarily indicated following contaminated margins in malignant tumours, although some centres follow the principles of treatment for other soft tissue sarcoma of the trunk wall, applying RT also following marginal surgery \[50-52\]. Based on the Oslo experience, adjuvant RT should be reserved for the rare patients in whom a re-excision after intralesional surgery cannot be performed for anatomical reasons (thoracic wall) or to whom mastectomy is unacceptable.

Carcinosarcomas of the breast are commonly treated according to the recommendations for epithelial breast cancer as the sarcomatoid differentiation is considered a metaplasia or dedifferentiation of the epithelial tumour cells. These tumours seem to be more chemoresistant compared with intraductal carcinoma of the breast, with a poorer prognosis \[53\]. Data on the efficacy of adjuvant treatment is sparse, and the optimal treatment paradigm is unknown. RT seems to improve both local control rates and overall survival, and should be considered following lumpectomy or following mastectomy in patients with risk factors such as large tumour (\(\geq 5\) cm) and multiple positive axillary lymph nodes \[54\]. Biomolecular research to detect differences between epithelial and metaplastic/sarcomatoid breast cancer may reveal novel targets for chemotherapeutic agents to improve outcome in these patients.

- **Head and neck sarcoma:**

STS situated in the head and neck (H&N) area are basically treated according to the same principles and protocols as other bone- and soft tissue sarcomas, depending on histological subtype. Taking into account availability for superior fixation using a thermoplastic mask, and because of the close proximity to critical normal tissue (eye, lens, optic nerve CNS), the set-up margins may be tighter compared with extremity or trunk location. Hence, a PTV margin of 3-5 mm may be sufficient \[55\]. A preoperative setting may be advocated in the H&N-region as this entails smaller volumes and lower doses compared with postoperative RT, which is of particular interest due to the close proximity to critical structures \[56\].

### 2.2.4 Hyperthermia, chemotherapy and RT in locally advanced STS

A randomized study conducted by the EORTC with Haukeland University Hospital as a participating centre, comparing pre- and postoperative thermo-chemotherapy (etoposide, ifosfamide and doxorubicin, 4 courses before and after surgery) with chemotherapy alone in high-risk STS demonstrated improved disease-free survival and local recurrence-free survival in the hyperthermia arm \[57\]. Furthermore, overall survival was superior in the experimental arm in the group of patients who completed the neo-adjuvant phase.
After conclusion of the EORTC-study, a pilot study of neo-adjuvant three-modality treatment with hyperthermia, chemotherapy and radiotherapy was established at the Centre for bone-and soft tissue tumours, Bergen. The study is open for SSG patients. Inclusion criteria are similar to SSG XX Group B, namely locally advanced soft tissue sarcoma with an obvious risk of intralesional surgery, or in cases where amputation or mutilating surgery is considered necessary to obtain a complete resection of the tumour. Primary or recurrent STS are eligible. In addition to extremity and trunk wall location, tumours located in the pelvis or retroperitoneum may be included if heating of the tumour area is considered feasible. The treatment schedule comprises three courses (3 weeks interval) of doxorubicin and ifosfamide concomitant with regional hyperthermia, followed by trimodal treatment with radiotherapy (1.8 – 2 Gy per fraction to 45-50 Gy over 5 weeks) with weekly hyperthermia and concomitant ifosfamide (Figure 3). The patients will be hospitalised for a week for every thermo-chemotherapy course, and once weekly (2 days) during the 5 weeks of trimodal treatment for the administration of hyperthermia, while staying at the hospital hotel as an outpatient for the radiation treatment days.

• **Neo-adjuvant thermochemotherapy – a pilot study**
  
  Doxorubicin and ifosfamide concomitant with regional hyperthermia day 1 + 4 every 3 week. 3 courses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Day</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hyperthermia
Doxorubicin

• **Neo-adjuvant thermochemoradiotherapy**
  
  Ifosfamide + hyperthermia once a week concomitant with radiotherapy 5 fractions weekly over 5 weeks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Day</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hyperthermia
Ifosfamide

Figure 4. Pilot study on neo-adjuvant trimodal treatment with chemotherapy, radiotherapy and regional hyperthermia in locally advanced soft tissue sarcoma.
3 Bone sarcoma

3.1 General considerations

Patients with bone sarcoma are with few exceptions treated according to international or Scandinavian clinical trials or recommendations. The protocols may be considered standard of care, and usually include detailed guidelines for radiotherapy. The median age at the diagnosis of the two most common subtypes of bone sarcoma – osteosarcoma and Ewing’s sarcoma, is 15-17 years [58-61]. Most treatment protocols include paediatric patients and young adults. Age > 40 is a negative prognostic factor, however, the prognosis in adult patients improves when adequately treated according to intensive multi-modality programmes [62, 63]. Consequently, all age groups are included in this chapter of bone sarcoma treatment. Proton therapy (chapter 8) and brachytherapy (section 4.4) may have a place in local treatment of young patients with either bone- or soft tissue sarcoma, and these techniques are described separately.

In lack of explicit guidelines for the specification of treatment prescriptions, the principles for target volume definitions in section 1.2 will be applicable also in bone sarcoma. The recommendation for the CTV-margin in bone tissue is 2 cm in the axial direction, and 4 - 5 cm in the longitudinal direction in extremity lesions (long bones). A PTV margin of 0.5 – 1.0 cm is then added, depending on the accurateness of the fixation devise. Verification of patient set up during treatment may be in according to institutional practice.

In children, a particular concern is the growth inhibiting potential of radiotherapy, obliging great care in the planning process of local irradiation.

When a bone sarcoma is located close to central nervous structures, such as tumours of the skull base or cervical spine, proton therapy may be advantageous (see chapter 8).

3.2 Ewing’s sarcoma

Ewing’s sarcoma is considered radiosensitive, and RT plays an important role in the multimodality treatment protocols, either in combination with surgery, or as definitive local treatment in unresectable cases. As for STS, the margin status is predictive of local failure [64, 65]. The choice of local treatment modality is determined by tumour resectability. Surgery alone is recommended whenever feasible, and is preferred in extremity tumours in which adequate surgical margins may be obtained. A combined strategy is often applied in marginally resectable tumours, whereas RT alone is typically used in axially located Ewing’s sarcoma and when the tumour is deemed surgically unresectable. Preoperative treatment may be indicated in case of tumour progression, or anticipated inadequate surgical margins. As randomized data are lacking, it is unclear which local approach is optimal. Overall survival seems comparable regardless of local treatment; nonetheless, a higher risk of local failure has been reported with RT alone [66, 67]. However, in unfavourable cases of centrally located tumours, RT is reported to be an effective modality for local control [68].
Inverse planning with IMRT technique may enhance the therapeutic ratio in pelvic Ewing’s tumours by improving conformity [69]. A concern in this young patient population is a potential higher risk of secondary malignancies due to the dose bath effect (higher volumes irradiated to low doses in multi-field IMRT-plans). It is important to note that the toxicity of radiation following Busulfan/Melphalan high-dose chemotherapy may be severe, and that such high-dose therapy should be omitted if RT is mandatory and involves unacceptable doses (> 30 Gy) to critical organs such as the central nerve system or brain (Ewing 2008). Equally, Actinomycin D may enhance radiation toxicity, and should on no occasion be administered concomitantly with RT although permitted prior to or after completion of the radiation treatment.

RT in adult Ewing’s sarcoma patients is conducted according to the principles in Protocol ISG/SSG III (Treatment protocol for non-metastatic Ewing’s family tumours) and Protocol ISG/SSG IV (Treatment protocol for high-risk Ewing’s family tumours) (available at www.ssg.org.net), which entail hyper-fractionated accelerated RT concomitant with chemotherapy in order to reduce total treatment time and reduce long-term sequelae by lowering both the fraction dose and total dose. Paediatric patients are treated in concurrence with the above mentioned protocols, or according to the European intergroup randomised trial Ewing 2008 (registered at ClinicalTrials.gov, NCT00987636), depending on institutional preferences. (The multicentre randomised controlled trial Euro-Ewing 2012 is not implemented in Scandinavia, and comprises no recommendations for RT. It is therefore not referred to in this document.)

Data on survival after treatment in consonance with the ISG/SSGIII protocol represents a substantial improvement compared to previous Scandinavian studies. Among the 56 Scandinavian patients included in ISG/SSG III, the 5-year sarcoma related survival was 88% for good responders and 86% for poor responders (the latter receiving high-dose chemotherapy). In total17% of the patients received RT as the definitive local treatment (including the only patient experiencing a local recurrence), 44% underwent surgery alone, and the remaining a combination of surgery an RT (Acta Orthop. suppl. 334, 2009) [70]. In 102 patients included in the ISG/SSG IV (limited metastatic disease), RT was the only local therapy in 20% of the limb tumours, and in 52% of cases with axial primary tumour site. Overall 18 patients experienced local failure [71].

ISG/SSG-protocols III and IV:
RT is given in one series concomitant with chemotherapy. The total dose is tailored to histological response, surgical margin and whether RT is given in conjunction with surgery or as the sole local treatment. Hyper-fractionated accelerated RT is scheduled in the protocol, however, in tumours close to CNS-structures, daily fractions of 1.8 Gy is often preferred.

For non-metastatic tumours, RT is indicated:
1. Following marginal surgery showing viable tumour in the surgical specimen (Picci tumour response I or II)
2. After intralesional surgery
3. In unresectable Ewing’s sarcoma.

RT is not indicated after a radical or wide resection, or, following a marginal resection if the surgical specimen shows no viable tumour cells (Picci tumour response grade III).

Adjuvant RT may be indicated for metastatic lesions in the bone following the same principles as for the primary tumour, or, as definitive local treatment in unresectable lesions.
Total lung irradiation is indicated in cases of complete remission of lung metastases after full recovery from the toxicity of high-dose chemotherapy. Surgery of persisting lung nodules may be supplemented. Local RT to persistent nodules is an option if the treatment volume is below 25% of the total lung volume.

The hyper-fractionated accelerated schedule comprises two daily fractions of 1.5 Gy each, and an inter-fraction interval of no less than 6 hours.

Using the equation below, applying an $\alpha\beta$-ratio of 10 Gy for acute effects, and 3 Gy for late responding tissue, the biologically effective doses (BED$_{acute}$) and late (BED$_{late}$) effects has been calculated for the different schedules (with a correction factor for total treatment time regarding acute effects), see Table 7.

$$BED = D \left(1 + \frac{d}{\alpha/\beta}\right) + D_{prolif} (T - T_k)$$

| Acute effects (with correction factor for total treatment time) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| $d$ | $D$ | $a/b$ | $T$ | Tk | $D_{prolif}$ | BED | «EQD2» |
| 1,8 | 50,4 | 10 | 39 | 0 | 0,6 | 36,1 | 46,0 |
| 1,8 | 59,4 | 10 | 46 | 0 | 0,6 | 42,5 | 54,0 |
| 1,5 | 42 | 10 | 19 | 0 | 0,6 | 36,9 | 48,0 |
| 1,5 | 54 | 10 | 25 | 0 | 0,6 | 47,1 | 60,0 |

| Late effects |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| $d$ | $D$ | $a/b$ | $T$ | Tk | $D_{prolif}$ | BED | EQD2 |
| 1,8 | 50,4 | 3 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 80,6 | 48,4 |
| 1,8 | 59,4 | 3 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 95,0 | 57,0 |
| 1,5 | 42 | 3 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 63,0 | 37,8 |
| 1,5 | 54 | 3 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 81,0 | 48,6 |

Table 7. Calculated BED for the different accelerated schedules in the ISG/SSG protocols for Ewing’s sarcoma. The corresponding EQD2-values have been included, but should be interpreted with care, especially regarding early effects. The value for proliferation time ($D_{prolif}$) is generically produced, and is not specific/accurate in sarcoma tissue.

The target volumes according to the ISG/SSG protocols are defined from diagnostic radiographs (GTV), with an additional CTV margin of 2-5 cm depending on tumour site and adjacent structures. In doses $> 42$ Gy, the restricted boost volume should encompass the residual tumour at the start of RT with a CTV margin of 2 cm. Special concerns are necessary in the spine and epiphysial area. For further details on target volumes and dose to critical organs, see protocols ISG/SSG III and ISG/SSG IV (ssg-org.net) and Table 3 & 4, section 1.2.6.
Ewing 2008:
RT is administered following induction chemotherapy according to treatment arm. In patients receiving high-dose chemotherapy, RT is typically administered 8-10 weeks after reinfusion of stem cells.

In localised disease, preoperative irradiation may be applied to a dose of 54 Gy/1.8 Gy per fraction, 5 days a week, or, as hyper-fractionated accelerated RT to 54.5 Gy, (1.6 Gy twice daily with at least 6 hours interval) to achieve a shorter duration of radiation treatment. Postoperative RT is administered depending on margin and histological response to a dose of 45-54 Gy in 1.8 Gy fractions. An additional boost to a total dose of 60 Gy may be considered. In case of definitive RT, 45 Gy should be applied to the involved compartment and at least 54 Gy to the tumour, with a boost dose in selected cases; or reduced dose in younger children with complete response to chemotherapy. Normo-fractionated RT with single doses of 1.8 – 2.0 Gy is preferred, but hyper-fractionated accelerated RT (1.6 Gy twice daily with 6 hours interval between each fraction) may be an alternative if normal tissue tolerance allows this approach).

Note: Actinomycin D should be omitted during central axis irradiation.

Whole lung irradiation is delivered to patients with pulmonary metastases at diagnosis to a dose of 15 – 18 Gy (depending on the patient’s age) with fraction doses of 1.5 Gy daily, or 1.25 Gy twice daily.

Generally, the tumour extent at diagnosis should be used to delineate the GTV, including scars from biopsy or tumour resection. A shrinking field technique is recommended in patients receiving a boost. According to Ewing 2008, the safety margins should reach 3 - 5 cm longitudinally and 2 cm laterally in extremity tumours (avoid epiphyseal plates if possible). Smaller margins may be applied in tumours of the trunk or head and neck. In chest wall or pelvic lesions, only the residual tumour (non-infiltrating areas) with a safety margin of approximately 2 cm is necessary. For more details regarding target volume definition, see Ewing 2008, Amendment 04 – 1 Aug 2013.

Recommendation Ewing’s sarcoma, ISG/SSG III and IV:

Resectable disease:
Following marginal surgery showing viable tumour in the surgical specimen: 1.5 Gy x 2 daily/28 fractions to 42 Gy
After intralesional surgery: 1.5 Gy x 2 daily/28 - 36 fractions to a total dose of 42 - 54 Gy depending of histological response.

Unresectable disease, definitive RT:
1.5 Gy x 2 daily/36 fractions to 54 Gy

Metastatic disease:
Similar indications and doses for metastatic lesions in the bone (definitive or adjuvant).
Total lung irradiation: 1.5 Gy in 10 daily fractions to 15 Gy
Additional RT dose in persistent nodules in the lung (to < 25% of TLV): 1.8 Gy in 14 daily fractions to 25.2 Gy

Recommendation Ewing 2008:

Resectable disease:
Preoperative irradiation to 54 Gy/1.8 Gy per fraction, 5 days a week, or hyper-fractionated accelerated RT to 54.5 Gy, (1.6 Gy twice daily with at least 6 hours interval).
Postoperative RT (depending on margin and histological response): 45 -54 Gy in 1.8 Gy fractions. Boost to 60 Gy in selected cases.

Unresectable disease, definitive RT:
45 Gy to the involved compartment and at least 54 Gy to the tumour, fraction doses of 1.8 – 2.0 Gy is preferred, but hyper-fractionated accelerated may be an alternative.

Metastatic disease to the lung:
Whole lung irradiation in pulmonary metastatic disease at diagnosis to a dose of 15 – 18 Gy with fraction doses of 1.5 Gy daily, or 1.25 Gy twice daily.

3.3 Osteosarcoma

Surgery is the mainstay of local treatment in osteosarcoma patients, aiming at wide margins and preservation of function. RT is reserved for cases of involved margin surgery [72, 73].
Osteosarcoma is regarded a relatively radioresistant tumour entity, and a higher RT dose is usually recommended compared with Ewing’s sarcoma or STS. The RT dose is tailored to the quality of the surgical margin.

Osteosarcoma patients ≤ 40 years are treated according to Euramos 1, and patients older than 40 years according to Euroboss 1 (www.ssg-org.net).

The recommended dose is in the range of 56-62 Gy following microscopically contaminated margins, and 64-70 Gy when macroscopic tumour tissue is left behind. The dose per fraction should in osteosarcoma probably not be less than 1.8 Gy because of the relative radioresistace in osteosarcoma.

In medically or technically unresectable osteosarcoma, definitive treatment with RT is recommended as local therapy aiming at total doses of at least 70 Gy.
Institutional preferences may include intraoperative electron boost irradiation or brachytherapy high-dose rate after loading techniques to areas of macroscopic residuals.
RT in osteosarcoma should not interrupt or lead to reduction of overall dose-intensity of chemotherapy; rather it should be deferred until the end of chemotherapy.

Protons can improve conformation and sparing of vulnerable tissue (see section 8). In selected cases, proton therapy may allow higher doses resulting in a superior tumour control probability compared with conventional fractionated photon therapy. Hence, proton therapy should be considered in adult osteosarcoma patients when the tumour is located in close proximity to the central nervous system [74]. Furthermore, since the OAR sparing could substantial, proton should be considered in most paediatric patients, even to adjuvant dose levels as clinical studies indicate fewer side effects, including less secondary malignancies. Carbon ion therapy should be mentioned as an alternative option, in particular in unresectable tumours juxta positioned to the central nerve system [75].

According to Euramos 1, the GTV represents all gross tumour volume demonstrable on diagnostic images. Collaboration with a radiologist is encouraged when delineating the GTV. The CTV should be defined in cooperation with the treating surgeon. A 2 cm margin in the axial direction of the affected bone should be attempted, or even up to 5 cm in extremity osteosarcoma. An additional PTV margin of 0.5 – 1.0 cm is advocated, taking into account organ movements and set-up margins.

**Recommendation osteosarcoma:**

Adjuvant RT: 56-62 Gy in 1.8-2.0 Gy fractions following microscopically involved margins, and 64-70 Gy (1.8-2.0 Gy /fraction) following macroscopically involved resection margins.

Definitive RT is indicated in unresectable osteosarcoma to higher doses ≥70Gy or more with 1.8-2.0 Gy per fraction.

Proton therapy should be considered in most paediatric patients, or in adult patients with unresectable tumours of for instance the skull base or spine.

### 3.4 Chondrosarcoma

Chondrosarcoma are typically slow-growing tumours and empirically considered relatively radio-resistant. The growth pattern is locally invasive, however, they rarely metastasise. Surgery is the key component of multidisciplinary management; however, the typically central localisation of these tumours often precludes complete surgical excision. The risk of local recurrence is correlated with the histological grade. Additional RT effectively prevents local recurrence [76]. Adjuvant systemic therapy is reserved for de-differentiated chondrosarcomas, which are eligible for the Euroboss 1 study (see osteosarcoma). Following inadequate surgery with contaminated margins, or in unresectable tumours, RT to relatively high doses is usually recommended. Because of a likely dose-response relationship, the minimum dose should be 60 Gy, but higher doses up to 70 Gy or more are favoured [77].The dose per fraction is typically
2 Gy, and should be no less than 1.8 because of the relative radio-resistancy of chondrosarcomas. Planned tumour debulking, without trying to obtain complete tumour resection in order to avoid unacceptable surgery-related morbidity, may sometimes be advised to facilitate radiotherapy without compromising otherwise dose-limiting OAR’s (e.g. optic chiasm). Following debulking, the volume of the residual tumour correlates with the outcome [78, 79].

Different RT techniques are reported to have comparable efficacy in long-term studies [80]. For unresectable or inadequately resected chondrosarcoma in close proximity to the central nervous system/spinal medulla, promising local control rates with proton therapy has been reported in studies including chondrosarcomas of the skull base or cervical spine [81, 82] (see also chapter 8). Carbon ion therapy is another intriguing approach showing promising local control rates and low toxicity in skull base chondrosarcomas [83].

**Recommendation chondrosarcoma:**

Adjuvant RT is recommended following inadequate surgery of grade 2-3 chondrosarcoma, and may be considered in grade 1 tumours following incomplete surgery.

**Euroboss 1:**

Adjuvant 56-62 Gy (1.8 - 2.0 Gy per fraction) following microscopic contaminated surgery, and 64-70 Gy after macroscopic intraliesional resection margins.

Definitive RT to 70 Gy or more (1.8 - 2.0 Gy per fraction) in unresectable tumours.

Particle therapy may be considered in unresectable tumours of the skull base or spine.

### 3.5 Chordoma

Chordomas are believed to occur from remnants of the notochord, and are consequentially located along the spine; with sacrum and skull base as the most frequent sites. The proliferation rate is usually slow with an invasive growth pattern. In contrast to most chondrosarcomas, chordomas have a potential for metastasising [84]. RT improves local control, and may be used as adjuvant treatment, or in unresectable tumours [85]. Similarly to chondrosarcoma, the dose to macroscopic disease should reach 64 Gy or more, using a fraction dose of 1.8 – 2.0 Gy. Photon beam therapy combined with surgery results in high local control rates, correlated to the volume of residual tumour following incomplete resection [78].

In chordoma of the skull base or upper cervical spine, evidence exists for the efficacy of dose escalation allowed by applying proton therapy [86, 87] (see chapter 8, Particle therapy).
Recommendation chordoma:

Adjuvant RT may be considered following intralesional surgery to relative high doses of ≥ 64 Gy in 1.8-2.0 Gy daily fractions.

In unresectable chordomas, a dose of up to 70 Gy in 1.8-2.0 Gy daily fractions is most often used.

Proton therapy should be considered, particularly in chordomas of the skull base or upper cervical spine.
4 Radiotherapy in paediatric sarcoma

4.1 **General considerations**

Sarcomas in paediatric patients should always be treated according to international recommendations/protocols.

European recommendations for the treatment of paediatric or adolescent soft tissue sarcomas are encompassed by the EpSSG, European paediatric Soft Tissue Sarcoma Study Group protocols: EpSSG RMS (localised rhabdomyosarcoma) and NRSTS (non-rhabdo soft tissue sarcoma) of 2005, and RMS-MET (metastatic rhabdomyosarcoma) of 2008, or the 2014 “CWS-guidance for risk-adapted treatment of soft tissue sarcoma and soft tissue tumours in children, adolescents, and young adults” by the Cooperative Weichteilsarkom Study Group CWS der GPOH. Detailed recommendations concerning the indications and application of radiotherapy are presented in these guidelines, of which the highlights are summarised in the current document.

Osteosarcoma in children is currently treated according to the Euramos 1 protocol (see section 3.3), standard arm.

Ewing’s sarcoma treatment (see section 3.2) follows the Italian-Scandinavian recommendations included in the ISG/SSG III and IV protocols (www.ssg-org.net), or the European study Ewing 2008 (registered at ClinicalTrials.gov, NCT00987636).

As mentioned previously, the growth inhibiting potential of radiotherapy must be taken into careful consideration to avoid unnecessary growth retardation or post-radiation asymmetry of bones.

4.2 **Soft tissue sarcoma**

RT in paediatric STS is normally incorporated in multimodality treatment programmes often including systemic chemotherapy, to improve survival of childhood sarcoma patients [88-91]. Although RT is required in most cases of adult STS, the indications are somewhat less extensive in children because of the considerable higher risk of radiation morbidity, and the use of RT depend on clinical risk factors [90, 91]. Typically, the dose level in treatment of paediatric sarcomas is lower (approximately 50 Gy or less) compared with in adult STS (50-64 Gy). A fraction dose of 1.8 Gy is frequently recommended; or even lower doses (1.6 Gy) in children < 3 years of age.

**Rhabdomyosarcoma**

Rhabdomyosarcoma is considered a radiosensitive subtype of STS. Multimodality treatment typically includes radiotherapy concomitant with the systemic chemotherapy. According to the EpSSG and CWS-guidance protocols, adjuvant (postoperative) doses of 36 – 50.4 Gy to the primary tumour and regional lymph node metastases is recommended depending on subtype of RMS and the quality of the surgical margin/IRS-group. For instance, RT is advocated after complete resection with negative margins only in patients with alveolar RMS to a total dose of...
41.4 Gy in 23 fractions. RT is indicated irrespective of subtype of RMS following resection with microscopic residual disease. The total dose (ranging from 36 – 50.4 Gy) is correlated to subtype of RMS and response to chemotherapy. In cases of macroscopic residual disease, a secondary resection should be undertaken whenever feasible, else, RT is mandatory. In large tumours responding poorly to chemotherapy, an additional boost of 5.4 Gy in 3 fractions may be considered.

According to the CWS-protocol, exceptions to the above mention dose-fractionation are allowed for special sites such as vaginal or orbital location, or in children < 3 years of age. Malignant lymph node involvement without radical lymph node dissection motivates adjuvant RT to a total dose of 41.4 Gy.

**Non-RMS tumours**

In non-rhabdomyosarcoma tumours in children, the radiosensitivity is highly variable and RT is used to a lesser extent. The EpSSG NRSTS Protocol recommends RT in adult type STS and synovial sarcoma, depending on tumour size and, grade, response to systemic chemotherapy and surgical margin/IRS-group to dose levels of 50.4-54 Gy in the adjuvant setting, or 59.4 Gy with definitive RT. Chemotherapy is often administered concomitantly with the postoperative RT.

The CWS-guidance endorse that radiosensitive STS subtypes or “RMS-like tumours” such as synovial sarcoma, soft tissue Ewing tumours (including pPNET), and undifferentiated sarcoma, should principally be treated similar to RMS, although preoperative RT is strongly recommended in order to restrict the irradiation fields. No RT is needed following primary R0 resection. Microscopically or macroscopically residual disease necessitates doses of 50.4 – 54 Gy in 28 or 30 fractions, depending on margin status. Boost doses of 5.4 Gy is optional in case of poor response or progressive disease during chemotherapy. Hyperfractionated accelerated RT with 44.8 Gy, 2 x 1.6 Gy/day according to the previous CWS-recommendations may be an alternative.

For adult type STS or “Non-RMS-like tumours”, the CWS-guidance advocates RT in all standard risk and high risk groups, preferably in the preoperative setting in patients with good/complete response to chemotherapy. Doses of 50.4 – 54 Gy in 28 or 30 fractions are recommended, or alternatively hyperfractionated accelerated RT with 44.8 Gy, 2 x 1.6 Gy/day.

**Advanced disease**

In the metastatic setting, RT may be used in combination with surgery to improve local control after marginal resections, or in the preoperative setting to improve resectability. Furthermore, RT is applicable in tumour localisations where surgical resection may not be feasible (bone metastases, disseminated central nervous affection).

**RT techniques**

Photon therapy by megavoltage equipment is usually applied. Electrons may be preferable in superficial located tumours in case of boost. IMRT and tomotherapy may improve conformity reducing dose to critical structures, however, the multiple fields and high dose scatter may be associated with an increased risk of secondary malignancies. Proton therapy allows a high level of conformity as well as producing a steep dose gradient towards critical structures. The technique may be indicated in certain cases (see also chapter 8), typically when the target is located in close proximity to the brain or in cases of paraspinal location, close proximity to the kidneys or intestine, and in pelvic tumours. In moving targets (lung, chest wall, mediastinum, upper abdomen), proton therapy is usually not superior due to technical restrictions. The active scanning techniques are preferred before passive scattering as the latter theoretically
may entail a higher risk of secondary cancer. However, overall, proton treatment allows less scattering of the dose, which might reduce the risk of secondary cancer compared with photon based techniques. For a second opinion on proton therapy, the Scandion Clinic in Uppsala, can be contacted. Cyberknife treatment and stereotactic RT are highly focused small field techniques reserved for small targets. Brachytherapy is useful for instance in incompletely resected tumours of the pelvis or head- and neck region (see below – section 4.4).

**Target volumes and Normal Tissue Tolerance**

The GTV may preferably be defined based on MRI images of the initial tumour. The CTV encompass the GTV + an additional margin of typically 1 cm (2 cm in longitudinal direction in the limbs), in addition to scars or potentially contaminated tissues during surgery. To delineate the PTV, an additional margin of 5 – 10 mm should be defined (2 cm for chest wall). Further restriction of the volumes (pertaining to total dose levels) is described in the current paediatric studies, as well as recommendations for maximum tolerated doses to critical structures.

### 4.3 Bone sarcoma

The incidence of the most frequent types of bone sarcoma is higher among younger patients compared to middle aged or older adults. Most protocols include children and adults (typically up to 40 years). Chapter 3 comprise information on current treatment protocols for both paediatric and adult bone sarcoma patients, which are only briefly referred to below. Brachytherapy is a valuable radiation treatment option typically applied in children and young adults when tumours are located in close proximity to critical structures such as the central nervous system or sense organs. The indications and technique is described in a subsection of this chapter.

The guidelines for RT in the Euramos 1 (section 3.3) are consultative for RT of paediatric osteosarcoma. Osteosarcoma is considered a relatively radioresistant entity, and RT is restrained to cases of inadequate surgical margins or surgically unresectable tumours. Palliative RT may also be an option in metastatic disease.

Ewing’s sarcoma is particularly radiosensitive compared with other bone sarcomas. Ewing 2008 and the Italian/Scandinavian treatment programmes ISG/SSG III for non-metastatic Ewing’s family tumours and ISG/SSG IV for high-risk Ewing’s family tumours (see www.ssg-org.net) comprise guidelines for RT (see section 3.2), which is regularly applied as a component of multi-modality treatment in non-wide resections or in unresectable tumours. RT is also frequently used in lung metastases or bone metastases from Ewing’s sarcoma.

According to the ISG/SSG protocols, the RT is administered in a hyper-fractionated accelerated design with two daily fractions to total doses of 42-54 Gy, depending on the quality of the surgical margin, and the histological/radiological response after induction chemotherapy. Metastatic lesions may be treated to similar doses as the primary tumour. Following complete remission of lung metastases, a total of 15 Gy in 10 fractions is administered adjuvant to the total lung volume. Alternatively, a dose of 25.2 Gy (1.8 Gy x 14) may be targeted to viable lung lesions if the total irradiated volume comprises less than 25% of the total lung volume.
4.4 **Brachytherapy**

According to CWS-guidance, in cases of incomplete resected tumours located in the vagina, perineum, bladder or prostate, or in tumours of the head- and neck area, brachytherapy may be an option – either as a boost combined with external beam radiation, or as a replacement for external beam RT. Ref? In patients included in the CWS-guidance study, this should be discussed with the CWS Study Centre. Individual dosage is calculated taking into account the tumour location and adjacent critical structures.

Similarly, brachytherapy is proposed in Euramos 1 as an option in the local treatment of osteosarcoma.

Karolinska University Hospital in Stockholm has experience with brachytherapy in paediatric patients. Also, the University Clinic Schleswig-Holstein in Lübeck, and the Gustave Roussy Institute of Oncology, Villejuif, Paris, offer brachytherapy to children and adolescents.

4.5 **Normal tissue tolerance guidelines**

Generally, normal tissue tolerance guidelines are included in the clinical studies/treatment protocols for the different sarcoma subtypes. In paediatric patients, extra care must be taken to avoid RT dose to critical structures as the risk of late effects is higher and the patients have a longer lifespan during which radiation morbidity may develop. Secondary cancer is another major concern. An increased risk of a secondary cancer exists also in lower doses to normal tissue, hence the concern that IMRT may entail an increased risk of a potentially harmful bath dose. In contrast, proton treatment entails less scattering of the dose, theoretically reducing the risk of secondary cancer compared with conventional photon based techniques.

**Recommendation paediatric sarcoma:**

RT guidelines in specific paediatric protocols for the treatment of bone and soft tissue sarcoma in children and young adults should be followed.
Radiotherapy in abdominal sarcoma

SSG recommendations for treatment of intra-abdominal, pelvic and retroperitoneal sarcoma were updated in 2008 (SSG XVII, www.ssg-org.net). There is lack of international consensus concerning adjuvant radiotherapy in sarcoma of these localisations. In SSG XVII, it is acknowledged that radiotherapy may be considered following intralesional resection of an intra-abdominal sarcoma. A prerequisite is that the surgeon could avoid contamination of the peritoneal cavity during surgery. In addition, radiotherapy may serve as the sole local “definitive” treatment in unresectable tumours (also see section 2.2.3). The dose has to be restricted relative to the OAR limitations of intra-abdominal organs, and the treatment must therefore be considered palliative. Hypo-fractionated treatment with 3 Gy fractions is an option in the palliative setting.

The Norwegian Radium Hospital, Oslo University Hospital, and Karolinska Hospital, Stockholm are participating centres in the ongoing EORTC multicentre study in which preoperative radiotherapy in retroperitoneal sarcoma is investigated (STRASS study). Patients are randomized to undergo neo-adjuvant radiotherapy prior to en-bloc resection, or surgery alone. Patients with resectable, unifocal, non-metastatic sarcoma of the retroperitoneum, including infraperitoneal sarcoma of the pelvis, are eligible and should be assessed for inclusion. Potential study participants are referred to the investigating centres in SSG (Oslo and KS) for evaluation. Both the radiotherapy and the surgical procedure will be undertaken at the hospitals approved by the EORTC for this study.

Recommendation abdominal sarcoma (also see section 2.2.3):

The Scandinavian Sarcoma Group (SSG) recommends participation in the currently running EORTC randomised clinical trial investigating preoperative RT versus surgery alone for resectable RPS.

Adjuvant RT may else be considered following individual assessment in patients with retroperitoneal tumours of malignancy grades 3-4 and macroscopic or microscopic positive surgical margin.

An adjuvant dose of 50 Gy in 1.8 – 2.0 Gy fractions is typically applied, which may be reinforced with a boost of up to 10 Gy in areas of macroscopic positive surgical margins.

In unresectable intra-abdominal sarcoma, RT to 50 – 60 Gy (or more if feasible) Gy in 1.8 – 2.0 Gy fractions may be an option.

Palliative RT, preferably hypo-fractionated (3 Gy x 10-12 or 4 Gy x 5), may be an option to relieve local symptoms from abdominal lesions – whether they represent primary tumours or metastatic manifestations.
Uterine sarcoma may occur in all age groups, and include leiomyosarcoma and endometrial stromal sarcoma. In addition, mixed tumours (carinosarcoma and adenosarcoma) may occur in the uterus. Carinosarcomas are considered metaplastic endometrial carcinomas and are treated accordingly.

There is a lack of evidence that adjuvant radiotherapy improves the disease free survival in gynaecological sarcoma, hence, radiotherapy is not routinely recommended in this tumour entity [92, 93]. The SSG Recommendations for treatment of intra-abdominal, pelvic and retroperitoneal sarcoma (SSG XVII) (see www.ssg-org.net) reflects this. However, favourable local control following adjuvant pelvic RT has been reported [94-96], and adjuvant RT is for instance advocated in the ESMO guidelines (2013) following surgery of localised (stage II-IVA) high-grade uterine sarcoma [97]. Adjuvant RT in uterine sarcoma may therefore be discussed on an individual basis in multi-disciplinary meetings.

Radiotherapy may be considered in local advanced tumours that are not amenable for surgery, taking into account the tumour site and feasibility of radiotherapy in the particular location.

Recommendation gynaecological sarcoma:

In the adjuvant setting, RT may be considered on an individual basis.

In unresectable uterine sarcoma, RT to 50 – 60 Gy (or more if feasible) Gy in 1.8 – 2.0 Gy fractions may be an option.

Palliative RT, preferably hypofractionated (3 Gy x 10-12 or 4 Gy x 5), may be an option to relieve local symptoms in advanced disease.
A serious late effect of irradiation is the development of a secondary sarcoma within the radiation field. The latency period is long (median 8-14 years) [98-101] and should be at least 2 years with no signs of sarcoma prior to RT to classify as a secondary sarcoma. Furthermore, a radiation induced tumour must present as a different histopathological entity than the primary tumour. Radiation induced sarcoma comprise 2.5-5.5% of all sarcomas [98, 102]. The most common types of primary tumours previously irradiated when patients later presents with an in-field sarcoma are retinoblastoma, breast carcinoma, gynaecological cancers, testicular cancer and malignant lymphoma [99, 100, 102]. Radiation induced sarcoma often presents as undifferentiated pleomorphic sarcoma, angiosarcoma, osteosarcoma or MPNST [100]. The prognosis is poor unless a complete surgical resection is obtained [99, 102]. Adjuvant RT seems beneficial also in radiation induced sarcoma [101].

Treatment of a radiation induced sarcoma should follow the same principles as for sporadic sarcomas, adjusting the radiation dose according to previous irradiation and overlap. There is limited clinical data concerning the tolerance of re-irradiation, and the reluctance for applying yet another series of RT to the same target volume is often high as the risk of late-effects will increase with increasing RT dose. In different solid tumours, adjuvant re-irradiation in the setting of a local recurrence is reported to be effective and feasible, with brachytherapy as an interesting option [103-105]. There is no consensus as to which RT dose is acceptable in re-irradiation [106]. Doses equivalent to 60-80% of the original biologically effectively dose are considered to be well tolerated [107]. A repair factor of 33% is typically used if the time lag from end of primary RT to re-irradiation is minimum 6 months, also taking into account recorded late-effects of previous the treatment course. The re-irradiation dose is also determined by the total dose of and the interval since the initial irradiation; the dissimilar ability of involved tissues to recover from previous irradiation; as well as other factors delaying recovery (comorbidity, age, chemotherapy, age etc.). A cumulative dose of maximum 160% of tolerance dose is suggested. The patient has to be thoroughly informed about the increased risk for complications.

**Recommendation radiation induced sarcoma:**

The tumours should be treated in keeping with the guidelines for sporadic sarcoma.

The RT dose should be adjusted according to the previous irradiation dose.
Proton therapy

No randomised studies compare particle therapy with photons in sarcoma treatment. Based on available evidence, the American Society of Radiation Oncology (ASTRO) concluded in 2012 that proton therapy seemed superior in chordomas, paediatric central nervous system tumours and in large ocular melanomas [108]. In children in particular, when late effects of radiotherapy is of great concern, proton therapy may reduce the risk of late morbidity, including secondary cancers [109]. The radiobiological and physical principles of improved conformity and sparing of normal tissue with protons have been presented as an argument for implementing particle therapy without the requisition of positive phase III studies [110]. The rarity of sarcoma underscores this argument. However, data displaying clinical benefits of particle therapy in sarcoma treatment have been published.

Proton therapy seems to be valuable in chordoma, and in particular chordoma of the skull base, as this enables dose escalation and thereby increases the probability of local tumour control [86, 87]. Good local control accompanied by limited treatment-related morbidity has been reported in studies including both chordomas and chondrosarcomas of the skull base or cervical spine [81, 82]. Promising results are seen also in unresectable or incompletely resected bone tumours such as osteosarcoma and Ewing’s sarcoma [74, 111]. Dose distribution studies have demonstrated superior conformity parallel with improved shielding of normal tissue in paediatric patients with orbital rhabdomyosarcoma and pelvine sarcoma, in addition to intra-abdominal and paraspinal soft tissue sarcoma [112-115].

Particle therapy utilising heavy ions is more experimental. However, reports on carbon ion therapy demonstrate effect on tumour control with moderate toxicity in bone- and soft tissue sarcoma of various localizations [75, 116].

The rationale to favour particle therapy (in practical protons) before photon therapy in sarcoma patients is based on the potential of improved curability following definitive radiotherapy to high doses in relative radioresistant, primary bone sarcomas inaccessible for surgical resection. Bone sarcoma of the skull base is thought to be the foremost indication for proton therapy. Patients with primary bone tumours or soft tissue sarcoma with close proximity to critical structures of the central nerve system may be considered for particle therapy if required total target dose is high. In patients with Ewing’s sarcoma of which local cure by definite radiotherapy is achieved with total a dose of 54 Gy, the advantage of particle therapy is less pronounced. However, since the OAR sparing is substantial, proton therapy should be considered in most paediatric sarcoma patients to reduce the risk of late effects and secondary cancers.

When proton therapy may be indicated, it is recommended that the responsible oncologist discuss the case with radiation oncologists familiar with proton therapy, for instance radiation oncologists at the Skandion Clinic in Uppsala, Sweden. For second opinion on proton therapy at the Scandion clinic in Uppsala, radiotherapy departments at the University hospitals in Lund, Gothenburg, Linköping, Stockholm, Uppsala, Örebro or Umeå may be contacted.
Proton therapy should be considered in:

Bone sarcoma (chordoma, chondrosarcoma, osteosarcoma) of the skull base.

Sarcoma of bone or soft tissue in which a high RT dose is required within a volume of close proximity to critical structures such as the central nervous system.

In paediatric patients, regardless of dose, when substantial sparing of organs at risk is deemed necessary (i.e. in most paediatric sarcoma patients with centrally located tumours).
In palliative settings, radiotherapy is an important approach to relieve local symptoms such as pain and delay tumour growth. Choice of fractionation should be applied in accordance with institutional practice. Late morbidity is of less concern in these instances, and higher fraction doses are therefore usually recommended to shorten the total treatment time.

However, in patients with localised but unresectable tumours, definitive RT to high doses (≥ 70 Gy) may be indicated. Standard fractionated RT (50 Gy /25 fractions) may be applied in symptomatic metastases (pain, bleeding etc.) situated in close proximity to vulnerable structures (intestines, CNS etc.) in patients with a good performance status and with a reasonable life expectancy.

The typical fractionation schedule is 3 Gy x 10 [117]. Doses up to 3 Gy x 12-15 may be considered in patients with slowly progressing disease or a relative long expected life span, depending on tumour size and expected dose to adjacent organs-at-risk. In cases of instant need for palliation in patients with a short life expectancy, 4 Gy x 5 (during 5 consecutive days) is a less time consuming schedule. In relieving pain from bone metastases, 8 Gy x 1 is the preferred choice based on several recent phase 3 trials, meta-analyses and a Cochrane review [118, 119]. There is, however, less evidence for the efficacy of single fractionation in bone metastases from sarcoma compared with metastases from carcinomas. Single fraction RT may be repeated if local symptoms recur. Although single fraction in bone metastases is reported to have the same effect on pain compared with multiple fractions, the duration of the effect may be shorter and re-irradiation more often needed [120]. Hence, the patient’s life expectancy and institutional RT resources should be taken into consideration when deciding on the fractionation schedule in palliative treatment of sarcoma.

Recommendation palliative RT:

Hypo-fractionated treatment with 3 Gy x 10-15 or 4 Gy x 5.

Single-, or oligometastases in the lung(s) may be treated with stereotactic technique (SBRT) to for instance 45 Gy in 3-5 fractions depending on proximity to large vessels or central bronchial tree.

Single fraction of 8 Gy in bone metastases may be considered.
10 Toxicity and follow-up

Acute or early toxicity manifest < 90 days after the last radiation treatment fraction, and may be dose-limiting in severe cases. Erythema of the skin is expected, and may progress into desquamation or necrosis. Oedema may affect the involved limb, and wound complications or an increased risk of infections is reported when radiotherapy is administered prior to surgery [20]. Radiation enteritis with spasms and diarrhoea is a potential risk when intestines are included in the target volume. Early effects are reversible – often within 1-2 weeks following termination of RT, and do not necessarily correlate with the risk of developing late morbidity.

In the treatment phase, the irradiated skin areas should be kept dry and protected against mechanical irritation. Daily (x 2-3) application of saline moist dressing for 20 minutes may relieve local skin symptoms. Radiation enteritis may be alleviated with dietary measures, and nausea treated with antiemetic drugs. In severe cases, it may be necessary to interrupt the radiation treatment. In extremity tumours, prophylactic physiotherapy should be initiated to avoid development of contractures.

Late morbidity may occur months to years after the treatment (per definition at least 90 days after the completion of the last fraction). The most common signs are fibrosis of the skin and subcutaneous tissue, contractures of skin and joints, and oedema [30]. More seldom osteoporosis of involved bone, osteoradionecrosis or pathological bone fractures may follow RT [121]. Secondary cancers and retarded growth of involved organs is a major concern in paediatric patients, and hormonal status must be monitored when the radiation volume involves hormonal glands. In severe cases, late morbidity may compromise physical function, the ability to participate in daily life activities and health related quality of quality of life [21, 22].

Late effects may reach a plateau, slowly improve or gradually progress over time.

The different organs/normal tissues have varying sensitivity and volume dependence to RT. Dose-volume constraints should follow documented tolerance limitations, for instance the QUANTEC recommendations [7].

Tolerance is reduced when radiotherapy is administered in close relation or concomitant with chemotherapy [40, 122]. Simultaneous use of drugs such as doxorubicin or actinomycin D with RT should be avoided, and these drugs should be used with precaution also after the completion of RT.

Follow up after multimodality treatment of sarcomas should include an examination of the irradiated area, and recording of the highest observed morbidity grade. Radiation morbidity may be categorised and reported according to the EORTC/RTOG radiation morbidity scoring scale [123].
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